



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

•

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/445,640	05/08/2000	SIMON ROBERT WARD	D-42978-01 1191	
75	01/06/2003			
MARK B QUATT CRYOVAC INC PO BOX 464 DUNCAN, SC 29334		đ	EXAMINER	
			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Y K				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/445,640	WARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Kim	3721				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh et with t	h correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was presented to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>	<u>December 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>25-31 and 34-36</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-31, 34-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/445,640

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 25-30, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melville in view of Ginestra as discussed in paragraph 2 of the last office action.
- 2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Melville in view of Ginestra as applied to claims above, and further in view of Crowley as discussed in paragraph 3 of the last office action.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/2/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument regarding primary reference Melville, the examiner notes that secondary reference Ginestra is being used to teach the concept of having different supply webs with different widths and means to measure a product and selecting the appropriate web supply based on the product size.

4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the primary reference is accommodating different sized meat products but adjusts the bags in a different format. Melville discloses that it is known to use machines that are packaging

Application/Control Number: 09/445,640

Art Unit: 3721

products of a predetermined length (col 1 lines 15+). Melville goes on to disclose that machines for dispensing pre-made bags are known in the art as well (col 1 lines 50+). Ginestra is being used to teach the basic concept of having different supply rolls with different dimensions to accommodate different sized products. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Melville with different supply rolls as taught by Ginestra to accommodate different sized products with predetermined lengths.

Examiner also notes that limitations relating to the apparatus to contents (meat in this case) during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claims. See ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 6667 (Bd. App. 1969).

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,640

Art Unit: 3721

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Kim whose telephone number is (703)308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30 a.m - 6:00 p.m.

Page 4

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Eugene Kim

January 2, 2003